



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/809,273

03/16/2001

Hiroyuki Nishii

Q63124

4971

65565 7590 07/01/2008
SUGHRUE-265550
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVE. NW
WASHINGTON, DC 20037-3213

EXAMINER

GARRETT, DAWN L

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1794

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

07/01/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/809,273	Applicant(s) NISHII ET AL.	
	Examiner Dawn Garrett	Art Unit 1794	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Dawn Garrett. (3)_____.

(2) Keiko Takagi. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 25 June 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Pending claims: 4, 10, 12, 13, 15 and 17.

Identification of prior art discussed: Wakamatsu et al. (US 4,667,814), Yamada et al. (US 5,143,763), and Biebuyck et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner and applicant's representative discussed the term "flat sheet" as set forth in the current claims and discussed the 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st paragraph rejection set forth in the last Office action. Applicant's representative discussed the intended meaning of the term flat sheet with regard to instant figure 2 versus the containers set forth in the prior art. No agreement with regard to a further amendment describing applicant's container was reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Dawn Garrett/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required